OpenDOAR The Directory of Open Access Repositories





Bill Hubbard
SHERPA Manager
University of Nottingham

Repository development

- △ Enthusiasm and establishment from many levels
 - Subject based
 - Institutionally based
 - Departmentally based
 - Funding agency based
 - National archives
- △ Content types are expanding
 - multiple-type holdings based on institution
- ∆ Based on data-sets or collections
 - multiple-type holdings based on topic
- ∆ Various software solutions

Developments & schisms

△ Open Access

- but not OAI-PMH
- but not scholarly material
- is scholarly, but innovative content

△ Repositories gaining connections - & loosing clarity?

- Modified to accept publishers' embargoes
- Relating or merging with research assessment needs

∆ Spin and confusions - "open" "access"

- but not immediate access
- but not full-text
- but hedged with restrictive rights-limitations
- but not free subscription or fee required

Repositories

 Δ What is out there?

Institutional Repository use

- ∆ Two sets of end-users two modes of use
- - Browse and analyse statistics and aggregates
 - Browse and analyse countries, institutions and funding
 - Analyse and utilise metadata and repository descriptions

∆ Researchers

- Target individual eprints
- View repositories through search service
- Over-view of repositories themselves is less relevant

Meta-users background

- ∆ Repository administrators need ways of ensuring maximum exposure and use of their holdings
- △ Funders would like ways to check their research is suitably housed and see how it is used
- △ Institutional managers need overviews of colleague and competitor situations
- ∆ Service providers need a way of contacting and liaising with repository administrators as a body
- △ OA advocates need repository overviews and stat.s.
- △ All stakeholders need clarity on the overall scale, scope and development of the repository network

OpenDOAR - vision

△ A Directory

with entries sorted by content, location, constituency, etc

△ A Registry

 with registration services, FAQs and listed desriptions based on technical and metadata aspects

△ A Bridge

between repository administrators and service providers

△ A Resource

of materials and links of use to repository administrators

△ A Focus

for discussion and contact between repository administrators

Funded by . . .









Building from colleagues . . .

- ∆ Lists and services include -
- △ OAI Registry of registered data providers
- ∆ Southampton's registry of OA archives
- △ Oaister's institution records
- △ JISC Information Environment Service Registry
- △ eg SPARC's Select List of Institutional Repositories
 - selected by institution and content
- ∆ eg DSpace or BePress lists
 - selected by software type
- ∆ eg DARE repositories
 - selected by country

DOAJ - Directory of Open Access Journals

- △ DOAJ covers free, full text, quality controlled scientific and scholarly journals in all subjects and languages
- △ There are now 1842 journals in the directory
- △ Currently 455 journals are searchable at article level
- △ Currently 79,574 articles are included in DOAJ
- ∆ www.doaj.org

SHERPA/RoMEO

- △ Continuing project & under development . . .
- ∆ www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo.php

Statistics for the 124 publishers on this list

ROMEO colour	Archiving policy	Publishers	%
<u>green</u>	can archive pre-print and post-print	65	52
blue	can archive post-print (ie final draft post-refereeing)	25	20
<u>yellow</u>	can archive pre-print (ie pre-refereeing)	3	2
<u>white</u>	archiving not formally supported	31	25

Summary: 75% of publishers on this list formally allow some form of self-archiving.

Other projects and relations

- ∆ Service providers
 - Thomson ISI, Google, ePrintsUK
- ∆ National bodies
 - JISC, SURF, University groups, Funding agencies
- ∆ Repository projects
 - eprints, eTheses, multimedia, data-sets, learning objects
- △ Collective repository initiatives
 - DARE, ARROW, JISC Digital Repositories Programme
- △ Repository software suppliers
 - eprints.org, BePress
- Δ ... and obviously repositories themselves ...

OpenDOAR development

- ∆ Survey existing repositories
- ▲ Look at each repository personally
- △ Test against metadata description
- A Check adequate description can be provided
- △ Contact repository administrator with information
- △ Produce useful classification structure
- △ Build full directory and registry service
- ∆ Create update and maintenance procedures

Test metadata for survey - 1

Δ	Organisation name	Required	
Δ	Repository name	Required	
Δ	Home & OAI URLs	Required	
Δ	OAI compliance validation	Required	
Δ	Contact email	Required	
Δ	Postal address		Optional
Δ	Description	Required	
Δ	Presence of user licence		Optional
Δ	Re-use policy	Required	
Δ	Content type	Required	
Δ	Size		Optional

Test metadata for survey - 2

Δ	Collection Policy		Optional
Δ	Software used		Optional
Δ	Subject-Institution-Funding Body basis	Required	
Δ	Preservation Policy		Optional
Δ	Embargo Policy	Required	
Δ	Envisaged constituency it serves		Optional
Δ	Constituency that can deposit		Optional
Δ	Year established		Optional
Δ	Date of last deposit		Optional
Δ	Rate of deposition		Derived
Δ	Subjects covered	Required	

Subject based content

- △ Agriculture & Food Sciences
- △ Arts & Architecture
- △ Biology & Life Sciences
- △ Business & Economics
- △ Chemistry
- ∆ Earth & Environmental Sciences
- △ General Works
- ∆ Health Sciences

- △ History & Archaeology
- △ Languages & Literatures
- ∆ Law & Political Science
- Δ Philosophy & Religion
- △ Physics & Astronomy
- ∆ Science General
- ∆ Social Sciences
- ∆ Technology & Engineering

Test Repositories

- ∆ The Arts & Humanities Data Service
- **△** Behavioural & Brain Sciences Journal
- **△** British Library
- △ CCLRC (Council for the Central Laboratory of the Research Councils)
- **△ Dalarna University Electronic Archive**
- **△** University of Edinburgh
- ∆ University of Glasgow
- △ Göteborg University
- **△** Imperial College
- **△** Lund University Dissertations

- **△ Lund University Institutional Archive**
- △ UK National Digital Archive of Datasets
- ∆ University of Newcastle
- **△** University of Nottingham
- ∆ School of Oriental & African Studies
- **△** University of Southampton
- **△ University College London**
- **△ Uppsala University Publications**
- **△ White Rose Partnership**
- **△ WWW Conferences Archive**

Related work . . .

SHERPA -

- △ Securing a Hybrid Environment for Research Preservation and Access
- △ Partner institutions
 - Birkbeck College, Birmingham, Bristol, Cambridge,
 Durham, Edinburgh, Glasgow, Imperial College,
 Kings College, Leeds, LSE, Newcastle, Nottingham,
 Oxford, Royal Holloway, School of Oriental and African
 Studies, Sheffield, University College London, York;
 the British Library and AHDS
- ∆ www.sherpa.ac.uk







SHERPA - practical outcomes

- △ Establishing an archive
- △ Populating an archive
- △ Copyright
- △ Advocacy & changing working habits
- △ Mounting material
- ∆ Maintenance
- ∆ Preservation
- ∆ Concerns

SHERPA DP

- △ 2 year project to December 2006
- △ Use OAIS model to develop a persistent preservation environment for SHERPA
- ∆ Explore use of METS as metadata framework
- △ Protocols for a working preservation service
- △ Extend the storage layer of repository software with open Source extensions
- △ "Digital Preservation User Guide"

SHERPA Plus

- △ 2 year project to July 2007 for national UK support
- △ Advocacy strategies and material for the further population of existing repositories
- △ Resources, information and advice for all institutions wanting to establish repositories
- ∆ Support for repository-level, institutional and national policy development
- A Review and analysis of extending repository holdings with datasets, multimedia, grey literature, learning objects and other content types

UKCORR- UK Council Of Research Repositories

- △ Arts and HumantiesData Service
- ∆ University of Bath
- ∆ Birkbeck College
- Δ University of Birmingham
- △ University of Bristol
- ∆ British Library
- △ University of Cambridge
- △ De Montfort University
- ∆ University of Durham
- ∆ University of Edinburgh

- ∆ University of Glasgow
- Δ Imperial College
- ∆ Kings College
- ∆ University of Leeds
- △ University of Liverpool
- △ London School of Economics
- ∆ University of Newcastle
- ∆ University of Nottingham
- △ Open University
- ∆ University of Portsmouth

- A Royal Holloway
- ∆ School of Oriental and African Studies
- ∆ University of Sheffield
- ∆ University of Southampton
- ∆ University of Stirling
- ∆ University of Strathclyde
- ∆ University College, London
- Δ University of York

We would encourage . . .

- △ Countries to set up their own national repository administrators user groups
- △ Groups to contact us so we can create a listing for them
- △ Repository administrators to contact us with details of their repositories and where possible to fill in the selfregistration/update form when the list goes live
- △ Service providers to contact us with information about their services for repositories search, software etc
- △ Any other stakeholder to contact us with their own needs for repository overviews or coordinated development within OpenDOAR









http://www.opendoar.org

Bill Hubbard
SHERPA Manager
University of Nottingham
Email: bill.hubbard@opendoar.org

Lars Björnshauge
Director of Libraries
Lund University Libraries
Email: lars.bjornshauge@lub.lu.se



